



Embargoed for Release: 7:30 a.m. CT, Thursday, December 8

To interview François-Clément Bidard, please contact Julia Gunther at julia.gunther@aacr.org or 770-403-7690. For a photo of Bidard, click [here](#).

Treatment Decision Guided by Circulating Tumor Cell Count May Improve Long-term Outcomes for Patients with Metastatic Breast Cancer

SAN ANTONIO – The use of circulating tumor cell (CTC) count to guide the choice between chemotherapy and endocrine therapy as first-line therapy for patients with metastatic, estrogen receptor (ER)-positive/HER2-negative breast cancer provided overall survival benefit, compared with physician’s choice of treatment, according to data from the STIC CTC trial presented at the [San Antonio Breast Cancer Symposium](#), held December 6-10, 2022.

“The validity of CTC count has been studied extensively in metastatic breast cancer in the past two decades, and we and others have previously demonstrated its clinical validity as a biomarker of prognosis,” said study presenter François-Clément Bidard, MD, PhD, a professor of medical oncology at Institut Curie and Versailles Saint-Quentin University, Paris, France. “We hypothesized that the CTC count could drive and help standardize the difficult treatment decision between endocrine therapy, which appears more suited for patients with good prognosis, and chemotherapy, which may benefit patients with worse prognosis.”

As Bidard explained, in the absence of any recent trial comparing the two treatment strategies, the consensus among experts is to exhaust all endocrine therapy options before switching to chemotherapy to treat patients with metastatic breast cancer. Such recommendation is based on the limited side effects of endocrine therapy compared to chemotherapy. However, treatment decisions are highly heterogeneous among physicians and centers.

To test the ability of the CTC count to improve patient outcomes when used to drive the treatment decision between chemotherapy and endocrine therapy in women with metastatic, ER-positive/HER2-negative breast cancer, Bidard and colleagues designed the [STIC CTC trial](#), in which 755 patients were randomly assigned (1:1) to having their treatment decided by the investigator or by their CTC count.

“We anticipated that most patients would have their treatment unchanged, while some would have their treatment escalated from endocrine therapy recommended by investigators to chemotherapy based on high CTC count, or vice versa, de-escalated from chemotherapy to endocrine therapy if their CTC count was low,” said Bidard.

The primary results of this trial, reported at SABCS in 2018, showed a progression-free survival benefit in patients whose treatment was escalated from endocrine therapy to chemotherapy based on their CTC count.

After a follow-up of nearly five years, the authors now report the overall survival analysis of the trial, showing that, in patients with discordant recommendations between the investigator's choice of therapy and the CTC count, the strategy based on CTC count resulted in better long-term outcomes.

Among a subgroup of patients representing 25 percent of the study population, for whom endocrine therapy was the recommended treatment by investigator's choice but who displayed high CTC count, those who were treated with chemotherapy had an absolute gain of 16 months in median overall survival and experienced a 47 percent reduction in their risk of death compared to patients in the same group who received endocrine therapy.

Among the subgroup of patients who were assigned to chemotherapy by investigator's choice but had low CTC count, corresponding to 14 percent of the study population, those who received endocrine therapy had a comparable overall survival to those who received chemotherapy.

"The STIC CTC trial is the first to establish the clinical utility of the CTC count as a biomarker in breast cancer care, indicating that a single assessment of the CTC count before the start of treatment can guide the treatment decision between chemotherapy and single agent endocrine therapy in ER-positive/HER2-negative metastatic breast cancer," said Bidard. "Our study demonstrates that integrating prognostic biomarkers into the treatment algorithm can improve the management and outcomes of metastatic breast cancer patients.

"Interestingly, the subgroup of patients with concordant favorable estimates by clinician assessment and CTC count, representing 48 percent of the study population, had a median overall survival of about five years, even though these patients did not receive cyclin-dependent kinase 4 and 6 (CDK4/6) inhibitors as part of their first-line treatment," added Bidard.

The limitations of this study include that it was conducted before the introduction of CDK4/6 inhibitors, which are now widely used for the first-line treatment of metastatic ER-positive/HER2-negative breast cancer.

"When the trial was designed, the question related to the choice between single-agent endocrine therapy and chemotherapy pertained to first-line therapy," said Bidard. "Endocrine therapy with CDK4/6 inhibitors is the preferred option for treatment-naïve patients, but the dilemma between endocrine therapy and chemotherapy remains after disease progression on adjuvant or first-line therapy with CDK4/6 inhibitors, where current guidelines advocate in favor of endocrine therapy despite its short-lived efficacy.

"In that scenario, based on the STIC CTC trial results, the CTC count, in combination with predictive biomarkers, whenever available, may help customize the early use of chemotherapy or antibody-drug conjugates, which are becoming more and more attractive," continued Bidard.

The study was funded by the Institut National du Cancer, the Institut Curie SIRIC2 program, and Menarini Silicon Biosystems. Bidard reported financial relationships with Menarini Silicon Biosystems (institutional research grant), AstraZeneca, Daichii Sankyo, Exact Sciences, General Electric Healthcare, GSK, Eli Lilly, Menarini/Stemline, Novartis, ProLynx, Rain Therapeutics, Roche, Seagen, and Sanofi.

Abstract

GS3-09

Circulating Tumor Cells-driven choice of first line therapy for ER+ HER2- metastatic breast cancer: overall survival analysis of the randomized STIC CTC trial

Presenting Author: Francois-Clement Bidard, MD PhD – Institut Curie

Background: High circulating tumor cell (CTC) count (CTChigh) is a strong adverse prognostic factor in patients with metastatic breast cancer (mBC). In the STIC CTC trial (NCT01710605), run before the

introduction of CDK4/6 inhibitors for ER+/HER2- mBC, we showed that CTC count (CTC arm) was non inferior to clinician's choice (standard arm) on progression-free survival (PFS) to guide first line treatment selection between chemotherapy (CT) and endocrine therapy (ET) (Bidard et al., JAMA Oncol 2021). Of note, patients who had treatment escalated from ET (a priori clinician choice) to CT in the CTC arm, had a significantly longer PFS. We report here overall survival (OS) results of this multicenter CTC clinical utility trial.

Methods: In the CTC arm, N=377 patients had their treatment determined by baseline CTC count: CT if CTChigh (≥ 5 CTCs/7.5 mL, CellSearch®), ET if CTClow. In the standard arm (N=378 patients), the choice was left to the investigator: CT if clinical high risk (Clinhigh), ET if clinical low risk (Clinlow). Therefore, patients with discordant Clinlow/CTChigh or Clinhigh/CTClow profiles had their first line treatment escalated from ET (standard arm) to CT (CTC arm) or de-escalated from CT (standard arm) to ET (CTC arm), respectively. Patients with concordant Clinlow/CTClow and Clinhigh/CTChigh profiles received ET and CT in both arms, respectively.

Results: Among 755 randomized patients, N=189 (25.0%) had a Clinlow/CTChigh profile, N=103 (13.6%) Clinhigh/CTClow, N=363 (48.2%) Clinlow/CTClow and N=100 (13.2%) Clinhigh/CTChigh. OS was analyzed after a median follow-up of 57 months and 382 events (50.6%). In the Clinlow/CTChigh subgroup, CT in the CTC arm led a longer OS (mOS: 51.8 months [43.3-NR]) than ET in the standard arm (35.4 months [30.4-45.4]; HR=0.53 [0.36-0.78], p=0.001). In patients Clinhigh/CTClow, no significant difference was observed whether they received CT (standard arm) or ET (CTC arm) (45.9 months [36.3-59.8] vs 49.4 months [35.4-65.4]; HR=0.88 [0.51-1.51], p=0.63). Pooling the two discordant groups (Clinlow/CTChigh or Clinhigh/CTClow), the CTC-driven strategy was superior to the clinician-driven treatment decision (HR=0.63 [0.46-0.86], p=0.02). Pooling all concordant and discordant groups together, a median OS of 45.5 (95%CI=[40.9-51.1]) and 51.3 months [46.8-55.1] was observed in the standard and CTC arms, respectively (HR=0.84 [0.69-1.03], p=0.10).

Conclusions: Prognostic information brought by CTC or standard factors is discordant in 40% of patients with ER+ HER2- mBC. In case of a discordant estimate, the STIC CTC trial shows the superiority on OS of the CTC-driven treatment decision strategy. These results also suggest a possible clinical utility of CTC to adjust systemic treatment for mBC in second and later lines, after progression on CDK4/6 inhibitors.

Funding: The study was funded by Institut Curie; the French National Cancer Institute (INCa), as part of the Programme de Soutien aux Techniques Innovantes Coûteuses 2011 (STIC 2011); and Menarini Silicon Biosystems (Castel Maggiore, Italy).

Population	Clinlow / CTClow	Clinlow / CTC ^{high}	Clin ^{high} / CTClow	Clin ^{high} / CTC ^{high}
N pts (%)	363 (48.2%)	189 (25.0%)	103 (13.6%)	100 (13.2%)
Treatment in the standard arm	ET	ET	CT	CT
Median OS [95%CI] standard arm	65.5 m [48.6-NA]	35.4m [30.4-45.4]	45.9m [36.3-59.8]	36.8m [22.0-45.5]
Treatment in the CTC arm	ET	CT	ET	CT
Median OS [95%CI] CTC arm	56.9m [52.8-85.9]	51.8m [43.3-NR]	49.4m [35.4-65.4]	35.1m [29.5-44.6]
OS HR [95%CI]	Not done (same treatment received in both arms)	0.53 [0.36-0.78]	0.87 [0.51 - 1.51]	Not done (same treatment received in both arms)

###

Follow SABCS: [Blog](#); [Twitter](#); and [Facebook](#)

Follow the meeting on Twitter: [#SABCS22](#)

About the San Antonio Breast Cancer Symposium®

Since 1977, the San Antonio Breast Cancer Symposium® (SABCS®) has been the leading scientific conference for basic scientists, physician-scientists, clinical investigators and breast care providers, and advocates seeking an exchange of new information in experimental biology, etiology, prevention, diagnosis, and therapy of premalignant breast disease and breast cancer. Founded, owned, and operated by [UT Health San Antonio](#), the symposium has grown to a five-day event attended by an international audience of academic investigators and private physicians from over 80 countries to attain information through abstract presentations, panel discussions, research findings, and state-of-the-art educational sessions. UT Health San Antonio, with co-sponsor the [American Association for Cancer Research](#), supports SABCS, which provides education and accessibility to the latest information regarding the prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of premalignant breast cancer and breast disease. For more information on SABCS, visit www.sabcs.org.